UTH O	Policy Number	Total Pages
KENTUCKY CORRECTIONS Policies and Procedures	9.2	3
	Date Filed	Effective Date
	*	April 29, 2016
References/Authority	Subject	
ACA 4-4017, 2-CO-1A-20, 2-CO-1A-21, 2-CO-1A-22 and 2-CO-3A-01 28 C.F.R. pt. 115		TAL, PROGRAM ITY REVIEWS

### I. DEFINITIONS

None.

#### II. POLICY and PROCEDURES

Annual program and security reviews shall be conducted to ensure that the practices and procedures of the Department of Corrections' divisions are adequate and in compliance with the Department's policies and procedures.

- A. The review process shall be conducted at each adult correctional institution, Probation and Parole, the Division of Corrections Training, Correctional Industries and Central Office. The review shall consist of four distinct parts:
  - 1. A review of departmental and institutional or division policies and procedures, post orders and written documentation to ensure that all policies and procedures are being followed and documentation is kept upto-date.
  - 2. An audit of all ACA accreditation files, including all mandatory standards, to ensure that the file documentation is accurate and up-to-date.
  - 3. A physical inspection of all institutional and division areas to ensure that: institutional and division personnel are following policies, procedures and post orders; to review logs and other documentation on-site; and to review the general conditions of the institution or division.
  - 4. A review for compliance with the Prison Rape Elimination (PREA) of 2003. The review shall include policies and the documentation the institution maintains to demonstrate compliance, a physical inspection of the institution, and interviews of a random sampling of staff and inmates with the interview using the interview questions established by the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) for PREA audits.

Policy Number	Effective Date	Page
9.2	April 29, 2016	2

## B. Scheduling of Institutional Reviews

- 1. Institutional and departmental reviews shall be scheduled by the Commissioner of the Department of Corrections or designee.
- 2. In an American Correctional Association (ACA) accreditation audit year, a mock audit shall take the place of this review and shall be scheduled approximately 120 days or less prior to the ACA audit date.
- 3. A PREA mock audit shall take the place of the PREA part of the program/security review in those years that the adult correctional institution is to undergo a PREA audit.

## C. Composition of Inspection Review Teams

- 1. The team conducting the administrative, security and program reviews shall consist of a chairperson and additional members selected by the Commissioner or designee.
- 2. The team members conducting the review shall have knowledge of institutional or division operations and experience in the ACA accreditation process.
- 3. Additional members may be selected to monitor the audit process as a means of providing training for future review team members.
- 4. One team member shall be a PREA Compliance Manager, or an employee who is a certified PREA auditor, from another facility in those years when PREA standards are reviewed as part of the program/security review. This team member shall specifically focus on the PREA standards and shall conduct the interviews of a random selection of staff and inmates. The PREA review teams and process shall be managed through the KDOC PREA Coordinator and follow the final standards of the Prison Rape Elimination Act promulgated in 28 C.F.R. pt. 115.
- D. Areas to be covered by the institutional review are listed on Attachment 1.

### E. Administrative Instructions

1. The review team is expected to find each area of inspection in compliance or noncompliance resulting from their observations and findings. Generally, two to three full days shall be allocated for the program and security review.

Policy Number	Effective Date	Page
9.2	April 29, 2016	3

- 2. The review team shall conduct an exit interview with staff designated by the Commissioner, Warden or Division Director.
- 3. The chairperson of the inspection review team shall be responsible for writing the report and submitting it to the Warden or Division Director within fifteen working days after completion of the review. A copy of the report shall be forwarded to the Commissioner, and appropriate Deputy Commissioner.
- 4. Upon receiving the completed report, the Warden or Division Director shall prepare a response within fifteen (15) working days and forward that response to the Commissioner. The response shall address each noncompliance finding including a plan of corrective action for each deficiency.

# **AREAS OF REVIEW**

1.	Security Inspections	25.	Recreation Program
2.	Count Procedures	26.	Volunteer Program
3.	Firearms Control	27.	Library Services
4.	Issuance and Use of Chemical Agents	28.	Work Programs
5.	Contraband Control	29.	Correctional Industries
5.	Key Control	30.	Inmate Grievance
7.	Post Orders	31.	Mail Procedures
3.	Exit and Entry Procedures	32.	Classification
€.	Armory	33.	Adjustment Committee Procedures
10.	Perimeter Lighting	34.	Unit Management
11.	Perimeter Security	35.	Special Management Unit
12.	Internal Lighting	36.	Mental Health Programming
13.	Emergency Plans	37.	Protective Custody Unit
14.	Fire Safety	38.	Administrative and Disciplinary Segregation
15.	Tool Control	39.	Academic and Vocational Programs
16.	Hazardous Materials	40.	Staffing Levels
17.	Cleanliness of Institution	41.	Institutional Property Control
18.	Institutional Physical Condition	42.	Inmate Property Control
19.	Food Services	43.	Canteen Operations
20.	Health Services	44.	Staff Training
21.	Psychological Services	45.	Personnel Management
22.	Social Services	46.	Fiscal Management
23.	Visiting Procedures	47.	Offender Records
24.	Religious Programs	48.	Inmate Morale

49. Staff Morale